## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DECISION OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD

ACADEMIC PROFESSIONALS OF CALIFORNIA,

Charging Party,

v.

TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Respondent.

Case No. LA-CE-744-H

PERB Decision No. 1586-H

January 15, 2004

<u>Appearances</u>: Rothner, Segall & Greenstone by Glenn Rothner and Bernhard Rohrbacher, Attorneys, for Academic Professionals of California; Janette Redd Williams, University Counsel, for Trustees of the California State University.

Before Baker, Whitehead and Neima, Members.

## DECISION

BAKER, Member: This case is before the Public Employment Relations Board (PERB or Board) on appeal by the Academic Professionals of California (APC) of a Board agent's dismissal of its unfair practice charge. The charge alleged that the Trustees of the California State University (CSU) violated the Higher Education Employer-Employee Relations Act (HEERA)<sup>1</sup> by unilaterally implementing a student fee increase which affected APC unit members enrolled in CSU courses.

The Board has reviewed the entire record in this matter, including the unfair practice charge, APC's appeal and CSU's response.<sup>2</sup> The relevant facts here are identical to the facts in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>HEERA is codified at Government Code section 3560 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>CSU filed a request to consolidate and the California State Employees Association filed a response to the request after submission of this case to the Board. The Board declines consolidation

<u>Trustees of the California State University (San Marcos)</u> (2004) PERB Decision No. 1584-H (<u>CSU (San Marcos)</u>), which the Board recently decided. Based on the Board's decision in <u>CSU (San Marcos)</u>, this charge must be dismissed.

## <u>ORDER</u>

The unfair practice charge in Case No. LA-CE-744-H is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND.

Members Whitehead and Neima joined in this Decision.